EUV Mask technology : Ready for 5nm and beyond.

16th Aug. '21

Dai Nippon Printing Co., Ltd Fine Optronics Operation Yusuke Suzuki

2021 Dai Nippon Printing Co., Ltd. All Rights Reserved.

Contents



- Introduction
 - Photomask market analysis
 - MBMW
- EUV mask technology trends and challenges
 - Blank structure/Resolution
 - Mask capability
 - Curvilinear study

Conclusion



- Y2021 Photomask market will be grown significantly by strong mask demands related to high-performance computing, smartphone, and automotive device. This trend continues until Y2022 at least.
- Y2021 Merchant market is expected to increase by 9% (YoY). Currently almost all the merchant mask suppliers have been maintained high operational rate (>90%).
- Y2021 Captive market continues to expand by 22%(YoY) due to strong needs of EUV masks for 7nm/5nm Logic devices.

Introduction: -IRDS roadmap-



IRDS roadmap Minimum Half Pitches.



MPU/ASIC minimum dimension will shrink to 2028.

*IRDS 2020 SPIE Meeting

Introduction -IRDS roadmap-



IRDS roadmap for Litho Requirement

- 5nm node Logic is production status in 2021.
- Minimum feature size
- 15nm(MMHP=Line)
- 15-16nm(Cont-Poly CD=Hole)
- On mask level target size(4X)
- <60nm(Main L/S and hole)</p>
- <30nm(Assist)

YEAR OF PRODUCTION	2018	2020	2022	2025	2028	2031	2034
DRAM							
DRAM minimum ½ pitch (nm)	18	17.5	17	14	11	8.4	7.7
Key DRAM Patterning Challenges	Resolution improvements at reasonable cost						
CD control (3 sigma) (nm) [B]	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.4	1.1	0.84	0.8
Mininum contact/via_after etch (nm) [H]	18	17.5	17	14.0	11	8.4	7.7
					22	25.2	22
Minimum contact/via pitch(nm)[H]	54	53	51	42	33	23.2	23
Overlay (3 sigma) (nm) [A]	3.6	3.5	3.4	2.8	2.2	1.68	1.5
MPU / Logic							
Logic industry "Node Range" Labeling (nm)	"7"	"5"	"3"	"2.1"	"1.5"	"1.0 eq"	"0.7 eq"
Key MPU/Logic Patterning Challenges	EPE, Single Exposure for <36nm pitch, Cost of EUV patterning						
MPU/ASIC Minimum Metal ½ pitch (nm)	18	15	12	10	8	8	8
Metal LWR (nm) [C]	2.7	2.3	1.8	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.2
Metal CD control (3 sigma) (nm) [B]	2.7	2.3	1.8	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.2
Contacted poly half pitch (nm)	27.0	24.0	22.5	21.0	20.0	19.0	19.0
Physical Gate Length for HP Logic (nm)	20	18	16	14	12	12	12
Gate LER (nm) [C]	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4
Gate CD control (3 sigma) (nm) [B]	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6
Overlay (3 sigma) (nm) [A]	3.6	3.0	2.4	2.0	1.6	1.6	1.6
MPU/ASIC finFET fin minimum 1/2 pitch (nm)	16.0	14.0	12.0				
FinFET Fin width (nm)	8.0	7.0	6.0				
Fin CD control (3 sigma) (nm) [B]	0.80	0.70	0.60				
FIN LER (nm) [C]	0.80	0.49	0.42				
Lateral Gate All Around (LGAA) 1/2 pitch				11	10	10	10
LGAA minimum width				7	6	6	6
LGAA CD control (3 sigma) (nm) [B]				0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6
GAA LER (nm) [C]				0.49	0.42	0.42	0.42
MPU/ASIC minimum contact hole or via pitch (nm)	51	42	34	28	23	23	23
Via CD after etch (nm) [H]	18	15	12	10.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
Contact CD (nm)after etch - finFET, LGAA	18	16	17	18	20	18	18

*IRDS 2020 Summer Public Meeting

MBMW principle

Electron Source APS Electrostatic Multi-Electrode programmable Condenser Optics Aperture Plate System 5keV Aperture Array Plate **Deflection Array Plate** with integrated Electrostatic CMOS electronics Multi-Electrode 50keV Accelerating Lens Electron Beam Projection Optics 1st Magnetic Lens with Stopping Plate at 200x reduction 2nd Cross-Over Beam Steering Multipole resist coated 2nd Magnetic Lens 6" Mask Blank Scanning Stage

Principle : Multi-Beam with raster scan



Characteristics / Advantages

- Multi-Beam : 262,144 beams
- 120G Data Path
- High current density of E-beam source and Raster scan system.
- ➔ fixed writing time of around 12hrs/plate
- High Accuracy on CD : Quad-grid and 16 Gray beam
- High Accuracy on IP : Air bearing stage system

DNP installed MBMW 2017 and release production 2018.



MBMW technology is necessary for high end mask manufacture.

Writing time comparison

- High-End mask need for over 700 Gshots for VSB.
- MBMW using raster scan system, no impact shot count.(depends on writing area)
- Shorter writing time can enable the use of lower sensitivity resist, which enables significant improvements in resolution.



MBMW technology is good productivity!

Technology Trends -5nm EUV mask structure and Resolution- DNP

- DNP established 2nd gen EUV process, it using HM technology and thinner Low sensitivity PCAR resist.
- Absorb layer is 60nm it can be better printability.
- EUV mask can achieve under 30nm for L/S pattern also to use Lowsensitive P-CAR process, for Hole pattern can be formed under 40nm stable.



Position Accuracy



Position Accuracy performance of MBMW Low-PCAR EUV process.

140mmx140mm cross pattern 3 sigma : 0.9/ 0.81 (X/Y) Test mask reg map (tool check pattern)

Target spec:1.5nm 100mmx135mm Device mask



3 sigma : 1.35 / 1.21 (X/Y) Production mask reg map

MBMW Low-PCAR EUV process can achieve 1.5nm position accuracy.

Global CDU

CDU performance of MBMW Low-PCAR EUV process.



Assist bar [30nm]



MBMW Low-PCAR EUV process can achieve CDU performance <1.0nm main and assist feature.

LER performance



LER comparison b/w VSB PCAR and MBMW Low-PCAR. Design:60nm Line and space



MBMW Low-PCAR EUV process can improve LER.

Local CDU analysis

LER comparison b/w VSB PCAR and MBMW Low-PCAR. Design:60nm L/S, 60nm Hole

- MBMW Low-PCAR EUV process can get pattern fidelity stable, The LCDU value is improve >40% than VSB PCAR process.
- Low sensitive resist capability is enough for 5nm EUV technology.

Curvilinear capability

Patterning capability for curvilinear pattern.

DNP is ready for curvilinear patterns based on MBMW Technology. But angled pattern measurement for curvilinear has many challenge for mask technology.

Remaining challenges

How to measure smaller and angled pattern?

- Measurement standards?
- Need for Methodology study.
- How to guarantee disposition?

How to Guarantee the printing defects?

- Actinic inspection tool with pellicle?
- Need for any other inspection method e.g., EB, DUV or other?
- **EUV-AIMS or other disposition method?**

How to solve the cost issues?

TOOL, Material supplier and EDA companies R&D work is an indispensable to solve EUV technology challenges.

Conclusion

For EUV mask trend that performances listed, and DNP has been achieved to drive MBMW technology.

Item	Result			
Image Placement Error	0.9/0.88 (X/Y)			
Global CD uniformity	0.84/0.93(60nm/30nm)			
Resolution (L&S/Hole)	26nm/30nm			

- About EUV mask technology has many challenges, especially stochastics impact, Metrology, Productivity and cost.
- TOOL, Material supplier and EDA companies R&D work is an indispensable to solve EUV technology challenges.
- DNP supports many companies with mask technology to solve EUV technology challenges.

Thank you for your attention.