A plan to measure EUV resist contamination in the presence of hydrogen

Robert Berg, Charles Tarrio, Shannon Hill, Thomas Lucatorto National Institute of Standards and Technology Gaithersburg, MD 20899 USA

2015 International Workshop on EUV Lithography Maui, Hawaii



- **Contamination of EUV optics**
- NIST facility for measuring contamination caused by resists
- Contamination in the presence of hydrogen
- Changes proposed to allow measurements with hydrogen



Contamination of EUV optics

NIST facility for measuring contamination caused by resists

Contamination in the presence of hydrogen

Changes proposed to allow measurements with hydrogen



Contamination on illumination optics mirror N1 (old vs. new)





Intel

Outgassing + EUV = contamination



Seven steps to contamination

- 1. EUV causes photochemistry in resist.
- 2. Resist outgases organic vapors.
- 3. Organics travels to mirror.
- 4. Organics adsorb onto mirror.
- 5. EUV cracks adsorbed organics.
- 6. Cracked organics stick to mirror.
- 7. Mirror loses reflectivity.



Contamination of EUV optics

NIST facility for measuring contamination caused by resists

Contamination in the presence of hydrogen

Changes proposed to allow measurements with hydrogen



The present: Testing in vacuum

Organics leave wafer, travel to chamber surfaces and then to witness sample.



7

Protocol for contamination testing (in vacuum)



graphic from E. Shiobara & I. Soichi (EIDEC)



The witness-sample test at NIST



Ingredients

- synchrotron
- beamline vacuum chamber
- atomic hydrogen cleaner
- spectroscopic ellipsometer
- x-ray photoelectron spectrometer (XPS)



Report

- thickness of carbon spot
- reflectivity loss

NIST National Institute of Standards and Technology U.S. Department of Commerce

<u>Procedure</u>

- 1) Coat wafer with resist.
- 2) Measure resist dose-to-clear E_0
- 3) Expose wafer to E_0 for 1 hour.
- 4) Use spectroscopic ellipsometer to measure carbon growth.
- 5) Use atomic hydrogen cleaner to remove carbon growth.
- 6) Use XPS to measure residual "non-cleanable" elements.

Contamination of EUV optics

NIST facility for measuring contamination caused by resists

Contamination in the presence of hydrogen

Changes proposed to allow measurements with hydrogen



Trade-off between resist sensitivity and line-width roughness

Neisser et al., SPIE Extreme Ultraviolet (EUV) Lithography (2015)



Gas flow keeps contamination away from the scanner optics



Facts:

- Recent progress with "traditional" chemically amplified resists has been slow.
- Non-traditional resists containing unusual elements, such as Hf, show promise.
- The interaction between unusual elements, EUV, and hydrogen is not well understood.

Hence...

It is necessary to measure EUV-induced resist contamination in the presence of hydrogen.

How much hydrogen?

pressure	typical in a scanner (1 mbar)
flow rate	enough to suppress outgassing of H_2O and O_2

Contamination of EUV optics

NIST facility for measuring contamination caused by resists

Contamination in the presence of hydrogen

Changes proposed to allow measurements with hydrogen

The present: Testing in vacuum

The plan: Test in the presence hydrogen

How much hydrogen?

pressure1 mbarflow rateenough to suppress outgassing of H2O and O2

Minimum pumping speed to suppress H₂O

$$\dot{V}_{\text{pumpMin}} = \frac{Q_{\text{water}}}{P_{\text{H2O}}} = \frac{\left(6 \times 10^{-7} \text{ mbar L s}^{-1}\right)}{\left(1.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{ mbar}\right)} = 6 \text{ L s}^{-1}$$

Corresponding flow rate

$$Q_{\text{H2min}} = P\dot{V}_{\text{pumpMin}} = 6 \text{ mbar L s}^{-1} = 360 \text{ sccm}$$

 \square

National Institute of

Standards and Technology U.S. Department of Commerce

Q: How much time is needed for outgas species to diffuse to the witness sample? A: 0.03 s

$$t_D \equiv \frac{L^2}{D} = 0.03 \text{ s}$$

Q: How much time is needed for the H_2 flow to sweep out the volume L^3 ? A: 0.8 s

$$t_{\text{flow}} \simeq \left(\frac{L}{L_{\text{chamber}}}\right) \frac{V_{\text{chamber}}}{\dot{V}_{\text{pump}}} \simeq \left(\frac{0.042 \text{ m}}{0.5 \text{ m}}\right) \frac{(56 \text{ L})}{(6 \text{ L s}^{-1})} = 0.8 \text{ s} \gg t_D$$

Convection is not a problem because $t_{\rm D} \ll t_{\rm flow}$

Protecting the NIST synchrotron (SURF III) from hydrogen

Facts:

- Exposing the SURF's ion pumps to a large hydrogen pressure will cause them to sporadically "burp" hydrogen afterwards, making SURF unusable.
- The ion pumps are integrated into the storage ring, so repairing them would require disassembling SURF.
- Disassembly and reassembly could take one year.

Hence... SURF <u>must</u> be protected against a burst of hydrogen.

U.S. Department of Commerce

Summary

- The contamination caused by EUV + resists + hydrogen is not well understood.
- The concern is only with "non-traditional" resists that have unusual elements.
- NIST is investigating the feasibility of contamination tests with hydrogen.
- Hydrogen in the NIST synchrotron is a risk that must be addressed.

Thanks to Patrick for making this presentation!

Noreen Harned, Par Broman, Sander Baltussen, Coen Verspaget, Oktay Yildirim, Anton van Dijsseldonk, and Raymond Maas at ASML for many interesting discussions

